

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,722	12/09/2003	Pascaline H. Tran	4621B	5551
Chief Patent Co	7590 08/29/2007 punsel	EXAMINER		
Engelhard Corp			BRUNSMAN, DAVID M	
101 Wood Ave Iselin, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/731,722		TRAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David M. Brunsman	1755	

	David III. Branoman	1,700				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 10 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		ne final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time period set in	ordi iii 37 CFR 41.37(a).			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, . (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NC		because			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially r	eaucing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	•					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		. 4!				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendr	ient canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:			•			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>26-41,101 and 102</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will gavit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		•			
13. Other:						
,	-	David M Brunsman				
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1755				

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejections under sections 102 and 103 and the double patenting rejection over 6689709 by virtue of the Terminal Disclaimer filed.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 26-41, 101 and 102 are still subject to the obviousness double patent rejection over US 6914026. The peak at 3680 cm-1 is not excluded by the instant claims.